



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,875	09/09/2003	Chien-Ming Cheng	LELI 3495	2089
321	7590	03/30/2004	EXAMINER	
SENNIGER POWERS LEAVITT AND ROEDEL			IM, JUNGHWA M	
ONE METROPOLITAN SQUARE			ART UNIT	
16TH FLOOR			PAPER NUMBER	
ST LOUIS, MO 63102			2811	

DATE MAILED: 03/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/657,875

Applicant(s)

CHENG ET AL.

Examiner

Junghwa M. Im

Art Unit

2811

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/03/2003</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Spitz et al. (US 6060776), hereinafter Spitz.

Regarding claim 1, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows a diode (100) comprising a connecting means (8;a wire) and a heat sink base (a region below the wire 8);

said connecting means comprising a flat end (7) fixed at a die (4) and the other end having no fixed shape;

said heat sink base comprising;

a base (2) which is on the bottom of the heat sink base;

a press-fit region (11) which is around said base (2);

a solder platform (3) which is above said base;

a die (4) which has a first side and a second side electrically coupled to said flat end (7) and said solder platform (3), respectively;

a shoulder (15) which is extended acclivitously from said solder platform, the root of said shoulder connected to said solder platform having a kink; and

a cup (9) which is extended upwardly from the periphery of said base (2).

Regarding claim 3, Spitz discloses said connecting means is a lead wire (col. 3, line 22).

Regarding claim 4, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises two solder layers (5a) and (5b) which sandwich said die (4) above and under, respectively.

Regarding claim 5, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises passive material (14) used to surround said wafer (4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Spitz in view of Spitz et al. (US 6667545), hereinafter Spitz'545.

Regarding claim 2, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows substantially the entire claimed structure except "said shoulder has a height which is substantially the same as said die." Fig. 6 of Spitz shows a shoulder has a height which is substantially the same as the die. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Spitz'545 to the device of Spitz in order to have a height of the shoulder substantially the same as the die for the tension relief as taught in col. 4, lines 24-43. Also, note that Figures 3-5 of Spitz'535 show of a shoulder with a various height and an angle. Therefore, a variation in the height of the shoulder would have been obvious matter of an optimized design configuration since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the shape of a component. A

Art Unit: 2811

change in shape is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In *re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

Regarding claim 6, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows substantially the entire claimed structure except “an epoxy surrounding outside said passivative material.” Spitz’545 discloses the diode further comprises a resin (44; col. 3, line 11) for surrounding outside said passivative material (38). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the teachings of Spitz’545 to the device of Spitz in order to have an epoxy encapsulating material since an epoxy resin is most widely used packaging material.

Regarding claim 7, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows the diode further comprises a protective sheath (12) for surrounding the encapsulating material (13) inside said cup.

Regarding claim 8, Fig. 1 of Spitz shows further comprises a protective sheath (12) for surrounding the encapsulating material (13) outside said cup (9).

Conclusion


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Junghwa M. Im whose telephone number is (571) 272-1655. The examiner can normally be reached on MON.-FRI. 8:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2811

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmi



EDDIE LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800